

The Honorable Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

JANE SULLIVAN and P. POES 1-75,  
individually and on behalf of others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, a  
Washington public corporation; ELIZA  
SAUNDERS, Director of Public Records and  
Open Public Meetings at the University of  
Washington, in their official capacity,

Defendants,

and

PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL  
TREATMENT OF ANIMALS, INC., a  
Virginia nonstock corporation,

Intervenor-Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-0204-RAJ

**ORDER EXTENDING TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER**

**[PROPOSED]**

This matter came before the Court on a motion by Plaintiffs for an order extending the Temporary Restraining Order granted by this Court on February 24, 2022, and currently set to expire April 14, 2022.

[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER - 1  
SULLIVAN ET AL. v. UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON ET AL.  
NO. CV22-204-RAJ

**GOLDFARB & HUCK  
ROTH RIOJAS, PLLC**  
925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3950  
Seattle, Washington 98104  
(206) 452-0260

1 The Court, having considered Plaintiffs' Motion, all materials filed in support thereof, any  
 2 materials filed in opposition, and the pleadings and papers of record in this matter, hereby FINDS  
 3 as follows:

4 Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)(2), before a TRO expires, "the court, for good cause," may  
 5 extend it. "The reasons for an extension must be entered in the record." *Id.*

6 In issuing the TRO, the Court found that Plaintiffs raised serious questions on the merits,  
 7 that the balance of equities tips "sharply" in Plaintiffs' favor, and that "irreparable harm would  
 8 likely result if this information were made public[.]" Feb. 24 Order (Dkt #15), at 4-5. The  
 9 expiration of the TRO, in the absence of further order from the Court, would allow Plaintiffs to be  
 10 irreparably harmed. There are no changed facts or circumstances that would make that a just result  
 11 while the parties are awaiting the Court's decision on a preliminary injunction. Accordingly, the  
 12 Court finds good cause shown for the extension of the TRO.

13  
 14 The Court therefore ORDERS that Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend the Temporary Restraining Order  
 15 is GRANTED. Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)(2), the Temporary Restraining Order shall remain in  
 16 effect for an additional 14 days, until April 28, 2022, or pending further order of this Court.

17  
 18 DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2022.

19  
 20 

21 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
 22 United States District Judge